

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,788	07/13/2006	Rick M. Salisbury	01714-21855.PROV.PCT.US	3084
20551 THORPE NOR	7590 01/11/2008 TH & WESTERN, LLP.		EXAMINER	
8180 SOUTH 700 EAST, SUITE 350			PATEL, KIRAN B	
SANDY, UT 8	4070		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3612	
				·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Common.	10/563,788	SALISBURY, RICK M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Kiran B. Patel/	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from titute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	ihis action is non-final.				
<u>/=</u>	/—				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/563,788 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

Detailed Action

Election and Restriction (1/4/08)

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required because this application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct inventions. Applicant is requested to <u>elect a single invention and associated figures for prosecution on the merits</u> to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

Invention A - claims 1-14, directed towards a fairing.

Invention B - claims 15-28, directed towards a motorcycle comprising a front portion including at least one head-light and multiple fairing attachment portions disposed on the front portion; multiple coupling portions positioned on the fairing frame member and configured to removably couple the frame member to the multiple fairing attachment portions on the front portion of the motorcycle; wherein said fairing frame member is detachable from the front portion of the motorcycle and operable to be reconfigured into a smaller configuration for storing with the motorcycle.

Invention C - claims 29-32, directed towards a method to include detaching a fairing, having a fairing frame member and at least one flexible fairing cover, from the front portion of the motorcycle; collapsing the fairing; and storing the collapsed fairing with the motorcycle.

Restriction for examination purpose as indicated above is proper because each of these above inventions having various/different limitations are distinct as outlined above and therefore acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required.

- 2. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Inventions (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each invention (A, B., C, etc.) to include respective claims and figures to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. This application, <u>as best understood</u>, contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 10/563,788

Art Unit: 3612

Species A - directed towards Fig. 1-2

Species B - directed towards Fig. 3-5

Species C - directed towards Fig. 6

Species D - directed towards Fig. 7

Species E - directed towards Fig. 8

Species F - directed towards Fig. 9

Species G - directed towards Fig. 10.

- 4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to <u>elect a single disclosed species</u> and associated figures for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. <u>As best understood</u>, Examiner has identified the Species (A, B, C, etc.) but Applicant may amend, with a detailed explanation, the composition of each species (A, B, C, etc.) to include associated/respective figures/limitations to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Currently, there appears to be no claim, which is generic to all species.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species and associated figures that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable only on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/563,788

Art Unit: 3612

- 8. A telephone call was made for the Attorney/Agent responsible for this application to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined.
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-6665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Kiran B. Patel/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612 January 4, 2008